Tim Burklew Anna Burklew Mailing address 18900 NE 3rd Ct. 509 Miami Florida 33179

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Tim Burklew

Case No:

and

Anna Burklew

Florida residents,

Plaintiffs

V

BMB Matrix LLC

A Delaware Corporation

Defendant

COMPLAINT

The Plaintiffs Tim Burklew and Anna Burklew, (hereinafter the "Plaintiffs") hereby files this Complaint against the Defendant BMB Matrix LLC, a Company incorporated under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business located at 1617 JFK Blvd 20th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 (hereinafter the "Defendant") and further state as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction is proper in this case as the US District Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum

- or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different States. Jurisdiction is therefore proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 15(a), 22 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) because, during the relevant period, Defendants resided, transacted business, were found, or had agents in this District.
- 3. This Court has *in personam* jurisdiction over Defendants because the Defendant resides and transacts business in this District.

THE PARTIES

The Plaintiffs

4. The Plaintiffs Tim Burklew and Anna Burklew are both Florida residents who owned online stores with both Ebay and Etsy. The Plaintiffs contracted the online services of the Defendant BMB Matrix LLC for the purposes of boosting online sales with both Ebay and Etsy respectively.

The Defendant

5. The Defendant BMB Matrix LLC holds itself out to be an industry leader in turnkey e-commerce store building and delivery. The Company claims entrepreneurs from all walks of life turn their dreams into reality. Our turnkey stores allow you to sell anything in the world almost immediately. We allow you to gain your freedom from the regular 9-5 job. Removing barriers to online commerce helps start more new businesses, accelerates the growth of existing businesses, and increases economic output and global trade.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

- 6. On or about August 15 2023, the Defendant BMB Matrix LLC presented a written contract to the Plaintiffs (hereto attached as Exhibit A)
- 7. The contract provided for the Defendant rendering services in the form of the Defendant facilitating an online store for Plaintiff through third party EBay and which agreement also guaranteed to generate profits for the Plaintiffs online business.
- 8. Particularly the Plaintiffs signed up for the Defendant's Standard eBay package which entailed the Defendant supplying the client with products across all platforms included in the package. The package includes the following business build-out:
 - (i) Marketplace buildouts (100 products)

- (ii) eBay United States
- (iii) Etsy United States
- (iv) Guaranteed 30% Profit Margin
- 9. In sum, the Defendants guaranteed the Plaintiffs 100 items in its online store plus 100 items in an Etsy store
- 10. The Plaintiffs, upon accepting the Defendant's proposal conveyed to the Defendants the sum of \$9,995 for the services promised to Plaintiffs in the Defendant's Agreement for services.
- 11. The Plaintiffs state that after receiving the contract sum of \$9995.00, the Defendant never brought in more than 44 items for the EBay store, and the Plaintiff's Etsy store never even received a single item, out of the 100 items which the Defendant had promised.
- 12. Plaintiffs state that in light of the foregoing lack of Service by the Defendant, EBay then suspended Plaintiff's store services because of there being too many complaints on how it was managed.
- 13. Plaintiffs state that in the Defendant's contract for services they also provided a guarantee that if they [the Defendant] did not make \$9,995.00 in profits by the end of the year, the Plaintiffs would receive a refund of the difference between the \$9,995.00 and what the Plaintiffs made, which was essentially \$0.
- 14. Plaintiffs in light of the foregoing seek damages in the amount of \$9,995.00 and as for causes of action, further states as follows:

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract

- 15. Plaintiffs restate the facts and allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further allege as follows:
- 16. In Pennsylvania, a contract is an agreement between two or more parties that is enforceable by law. Contracts can be made orally or in writing, but certain types of contracts must be in writing to be enforceable.
- 17. To be enforceable, a contract should have the following elements, to wit:

Offer: One party must make an offer to the other party.

Acceptance: The other party must accept the offer.

Consideration: Each party must give something of value to the other party.

Mutual assent: Both parties must agree to the terms of the contract. In

addition to these elements, certain types of contracts must be in writing to enforceable. These include contracts for the sale of real estate, contracts for the sale of goods for \$500 or more, and contracts that cannot be performed within one year. If one party breaches a contract, the other party may be able to sue for damages. Damages are intended to compensate the non-breaching party for their losses. The amount of damages that can be awarded will depend on the specific facts of the case.

- 18. Plaintiffs asserts that a valid contract existed between the Plaintiffs, and the Defendant BMB Matrix to provide services to the Plaintiffs benefit, in exchange for the Plaintiffs rendering \$9,995.
- 19. Plaintiffs assert further that the Defendants BMB Matrix failed to provide the Services as described in the Defendant's service agreement dated August 15, 2023, which is hereto attached as Exhibit A.
- 20. In light of the foregoing, the Plaintiffs claim damages for breach of contract in the total amount of \$9,995

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT RELATIONS

- 21. Plaintiffs restate the facts and allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further allege as follows:
- 22. In the State of Pennsylvania, Intentional interference with contractual relations requires the following elements: "(1) the existence of a contractual relationship; (2) an intent on the part of the defendant to harm the plaintiff by interfering with that contractual relationship; (3) the absence of a privilege or justification for such interference; and (4) damages resulting from the defendant's conduct." Hennessy v. Santiago, 708 A.2d 1269, 1278 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1998) (citing Triffin v. Janssen, 626 A.2d 571, 574 (1993)). A fundamental requirement of interference with contractual relations is the existence of a contract between the plaintiff and a third party. E.g., Nix v. Temple Univ. Of Commw. Sys. Of Higher Educ., 596 A.2d 1132, 1137 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1991) ("The tort of interference with contract is defined in terms of unprivileged interference with a contract with a third party. Essential to the right of recovery on this theory is the existence of a contractual relationship between plaintiff and a party other than the defendant."); Glenn v. Point Park Coll., 272 A.2d 895, 898 (Pa. 1971); see generally RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS § 766.

23. Plaintiffs argue that

- (I) There was the existence of a contractual relationship between the Plaintiffs and with Ebay and Etsy respectively, prior to the Defendant BMB Matrix LLC offering to boost Plaintiff's sales.
- (II) There was an intent on the part of the defendant BMB Matrix to harm the plaintiffs by interfering with that contractual relationship between Plaintiff and EBay and Etsy respectively. This is evident by the Defendant BMB Matrix's course of conduct in not delivering to Plaintiffs expectations, with the Defendants knowing fully well that EBay closes accounts of individuals for lack of sales activity.
- (III) Plaintiffs state that there was no justification for the Defendant BMB Matrix's conduct.
- (IV) Plaintiffs suffered damages in the amount of \$9,995 in losses including of \$70,000 in lost projected online sales and further income between the months of August to the present.

RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs, Tim and Anna Burklew hereby request that the Court grants the following relief, to wit:

- (i) Damages for Breach of Contract in the amount of \$10,000.
- (ii) Damages for the Defendant's tortious interference with the Plaintiff's Contract Relations with EBay and Etsy in the amount of \$70,000.
- (iii) Costs of suit.
- (iv) Any further damages that this Court is willing to allow.

Plaintiffs further seek a jury trial on all triable issues.

Respectfully Submitted

s/ Tim Burklew

Tim Burklew

Plaintiff, pro se

s/ Anna Burklew

Anna Burklew

Plaintiff, pro se

Dated December 1 2023

TIM BURLIEW

Tim Burklew

Plaintiff, pro se

s/ Anna Burklew

Anna Burklew

Plaintiff, pro se

Mailing address 18900 NE 3rd Ct 509

Miami FL 33179

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) FLSD Revised	1 02/12/2021	CIVIL	COVER SHEET	Save As.	P	int	Reset
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and by local rules of court. This for the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN.	the information contained h rm, approved by the Judicia STRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE	erein neither replace nor sur	oplement the filing and servi	ice of pleadings or required for the u	other papers as re-	quired by law, o	except as provided
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		A	DEFENDAN	te An Re-med Ca	S MB	MAT	RIX
	RKLEW 3		RHUEW		PHI	LADELY	OHTA, F
	of First Listed Plaintiff EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	NOIAN KE	County of Resid	IN LAND CO	ed Defendant PLAINTIFF CASES O	ONLY)	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Kno	THE TRACE	OF LAND INVOLV	VED.	20011101101
(d) Check County Where Action	on Arose: MIAMI-DADE	☐ MONROE ☐ BROWARD [PALM BEACH MARTIN S	T. LUCIE INDIAN I	RIVER OKEECHOBI	EE HIGHLANDS	s
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OI	F PRINCIPAL	L PARTIES P	Place an "X" in Or	ne Box for Plaintiff)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	U.S. Government	(For Diversity Cases O	PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	and One Box fo		
2 U.S. Government Defendant		versity hip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In A	rincipal Place	5 5 5
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT		nly) ORTS	Click here for: Nature of Suit C FORFEITURE/PENALT	A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	KRUPTCY	OTHER	PT A THINKS
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	G25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 G90 Other LABOR T10 Fair Labor Standards Act T20 Labor/Mgmt. Relation T40 Railway Labor Act T51 Family and Medical Leave Act T90 Other Labor Litigation T91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appea 423 Without 28 US PROPEI 820 Copyr 830 Patent 835 Patent 840 Trade 840 Trade 860 Black 861 HIA (al 28 USC 158 trawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t - Abbreviated rug Application mark d Trade Secrets 016 LSECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) //DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 105(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 375 False Cl □ 376 Qui Tan 3729 (a)) □ 400 State Re □ 410 Antitrus □ 430 Banks a □ 450 Commet □ 460 Deporta □ 470 Rackett Corrupt Org: □ 480 Consum □ (15 USC) □ 485 Telepho □ 490 Cable/S: □ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedom Act □ 896 Arbitrati □ 899 Adminis Act/Review Agency Deci	an (31 USC capportionment st and Banking ree tion eer Influenced and anizations eer Credit 1681 or 1692) ne Consumer ct (TCPA) at TV es/Commodities/ catutory Actions ural Acts mental Matters of Information on on on
ORIGIN Original Proceeding Original Court Original Court		S60 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	district Litigation	District from N	Aggistrate -	itigation 🗀 9 Direct	Remanded from Appellate Court
I. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions): a) JUDO	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	NO b) Related				
TI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta N LENGTH OF TRIAL		ing and Write a Brief Staten	ment of Cause (De		nal statutes unless	s diversity):
TII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	рео СН	ECK YES only if o	_	_
BOVE INFORMATION IS T ATE	RUE & CORRECT TO T		VLEDGE TORNEY OF RECORD	JUKI	DENIMIU;	☑ Yes	No

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

IFP

JUDGE

MAG JUDGE

